

Model Functions

- [Introduction](#)
- [Shapes](#): [SphereModel](#), [CoreShellModel](#), [VesicleModel](#), [MultiShellModel](#), [BinaryHSMModel](#), [CylinderModel](#), [CoreShellCylinderModel](#), [HollowCylinderModel](#), [FlexibleCylinderModel](#), [StackedDisksModel](#), [ParallelepipedModel](#), [EllipticalCylinderModel](#), [EllipsoidModel](#), [CoreShellEllipsoidModel](#), [TriaxialEllipsoidModel](#), [LamellarModel](#), [LamellarFFHGModel](#), [LamellarPSModel](#), [LamellarPSHGModel](#).
- [Shape-Independent](#): [Debye](#), [Lorentz](#), [DAB Model](#), [Power Law](#), [Teubner Strey](#), [BEPolyelectrolyte](#), [Number Density Fractal](#), [Guinier](#), [PorodModel](#), [Peak Gauss Model](#), [Peak Lorentz Model](#), [LineModel](#).
- [Customized Models](#): [A+Bcos\(2x\)+Csin\(2x\)](#), [sin\(poly\)/poly](#).
- [Structure Factors](#): [HardSphereStructure](#), [SquareWellStructure](#), [HayterMSAStructure](#), [StickyHSStructure](#).
- [References](#)

1. Introduction

The present text documents the modules made available by the DANSE software for SANS.

Readers are also referred to the SANS/DANSE wiki page:

<http://danse.us/trac/sans>

Users can report a bug at the following URL:

<http://danse.us/trac/sans/newticket>

2. Shapes (Scattering Intensity Models)

This software provides form factors for various particle shapes. After giving a mathematical definition of each model, we draw the list of parameters available to the user. Validation plots for each model are also presented. Instructions on how to use the software is available with the source code, available from SVN:

<svn co svn://danse.us/sans/releases/sansmodels-x.x>

To easily compare to the scattering intensity measured in experiments, we normalize the form factors by the volume of the particle:

$$P(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{P_0(\mathbf{q})}{V} = \frac{1}{V} F(\mathbf{q})F^*(\mathbf{q}) \quad (1)$$

with

$$F(\mathbf{q}) = \iiint dV \rho(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \quad (2)$$

where $P_0(\mathbf{q})$ is the un-normalized form factor, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is the scattering length density at a given point in space and the integration is done over the volume V of the scatterer.

For systems without inter-particle interference, the form factors we provide can be related to the scattering intensity by the particle volume fraction: $I(\mathbf{q}) = \Phi P(\mathbf{q})$.

Our so-called 1D scattering intensity functions provide $P(q)$ for the case where the scatterer is randomly oriented. In that case, the scattering intensity only depends on the length of \mathbf{q} . The intensity measured on the plane of the SANS detector will have an azimuthal symmetry around $q=0$.

Our so-called 2D scattering intensity functions provide $P(q, \varphi)$ for an oriented system as a function of a \mathbf{q} -vector in the plane of the detector. We define the angle φ as the angle between the \mathbf{q} vector and the horizontal (x) axis of the plane of the detector.

2.1. Sphere Model

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a monodisperse spherical particle with uniform scattering length density. The form factor is normalized by the particle volume as described below.

1.1. Definition

The 1D scattering intensity is calculated in the following way (Guinier, 1955):

$$P(q) = \frac{scale}{V} \left[\frac{3V(\Delta\rho)[\sin(qr) - qr \cos(qr)]}{(qr)^3} \right]^2 + bkg \quad (3)$$

where *scale* is a scale factor, V is the volume of the scatterer, r is the radius of the sphere, *bkg* is the background level and $\Delta\rho$ (contrast) is the scattering length density difference between the scatterer and the solvent it is in.

The 2D scattering intensity is the same as $P(q)$ above, regardless of the orientation of the \mathbf{q} vector.

The returned value is scaled to units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$ and the parameters of the sphere model are the following:

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	1.0e-6
Radius	Å	60
Contrast	Å ⁻²	1
Background	cm ⁻¹	0

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).

2.1. Validation of the sphere model

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D model to the output of the software provided by the NIST (Kline, 2006). Figure 1 shows a comparison of the output of our model and the output of the NIST software.




Figure 1: Comparison of the DANSE scattering intensity for a sphere with the output of the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters were set to: Scale=1.0, Radius=60 Å, Contrast=1e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.01 cm⁻¹.

2.2. Core Shell (Sphere) Model

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a spherical particle with a core-shell structure. The form factor is normalized by the particle volume.

1.1. Definition

The 1D scattering intensity is calculated in the following way (Guinier, 1955):

$$P(q) = \frac{scale}{V_s} \left[3V_c(\rho_c - \rho_s) \frac{[\sin(qr_c) - qr_c \cos(qr_c)]}{(qr_c)^3} + 3V_s(\rho_s - \rho_{solv}) \frac{[\sin(qr_s) - qr_s \cos(qr_s)]}{(qr_s)^3} \right]^2 + bkg \quad (11)$$

where *scale* is a scale factor, V_s is the volume of the outer shell, V_c is the volume of the core, r_s is the radius of the shell, r_c is the radius of the core, ρ_c is the scattering length density of the core, ρ_s is the scattering length density of the shell, ρ_{solv} is the scattering length density of the solvent, and *bkg* is the background level.

The 2D scattering intensity is the same as $P(q)$ above, regardless of the orientation of the q vector.

For P*S: The outer most radius (= radius + thickness) is used as the effective radius toward $S(Q)$ when $P(Q)*S(Q)$ is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of $[cm^{-1}]$ and the parameters of the core-shell sphere model are the following:

Here, radius = the radius of the core and thickness = the thickness of the shell.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	Å	60
thickness	Å	10
core_sld	Å ⁻²	1e-6
shell_sld	Å ⁻²	2e-6
solvent_sld	Å ⁻²	3e-6
background	cm ⁻¹	0.001

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).

REFERENCE

Guinier, A. and G. Fournet, "Small-Angle Scattering of X-Rays", John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1955).

2.1. Validation of the core-shell sphere model

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D model to the output of the software provided by the NIST (Kline, 2006). Figure 1 shows a comparison of the output of our model and the output of the NIST software.




Figure 7: Comparison of the DANSE scattering intensity for a core-shell sphere with the output of the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters were set to: Scale=1.0, Radius=60 Å, Contrast=1e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.001 cm⁻¹.

2.3. VesicleModel


This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for an unilamellar vesicle. The form factor is normalized by the volume of the shell.

The 1D scattering intensity is calculated in the following way (Guinier, 1955):

$$P(q) = \frac{scale}{V_{shell}} \left[\frac{3V_1(\rho_1 - \rho_2)J_1(qR_1)}{qR_1} + \frac{3V_2(\rho_2 - \rho_{soln})J_1(qR_2)}{qR_2} \right]^2 + bkg \quad (11)$$

where $scale$ is a scale factor, V_{shell} is the volume of the shell, V_1 is the volume of the core, V_2 is the total volume, R_1 is the radius of the core, r_2 is the outer radius of the shell, ρ_1 is the scattering length density of the core and the solvent, ρ_2 is the scattering length density of the shell, and bkg is the background level. And $J_1 = (\sin x - x \cos x)/x^2$. The functional form is identical to a "typical"

core-shell structure, except that the scattering is normalized by the volume that is contributing to the scattering, namely the volume of the shell alone. Also, the vesicle is best defined in terms of a core radius (= R_1) and a shell thickness, t .



The 2D scattering intensity is the same as $P(q)$ above, regardless of the orientation of the q

vector which is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

For P*S: The outer most radius (= radius + thickness) is used as the effective radius toward $S(Q)$ when $P(Q)*S(Q)$ is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of $[cm^{-1}]$ and the parameters of the vesicle model are the following:

In the parameters, the 'radius' represents the core radius (R_1) and the 'thickness' ($R_2 - R_1$) is the shell thickness.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	Å	100
thickness	Å	30
core_sld	Å ⁻²	6.3e-6
shell_sld	Å ⁻²	0
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/200 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).


REFERENCE

Guinier, A. and G. Fournet, "Small-Angle Scattering of X-Rays", John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1955).

2.4. MultiShellModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a multi-lamellar vesicle with N shells where the core is filled with solvent and the shells are interleaved with layers of solvent. For $N = 1$, this return to the vesicle model (above).

Multi-Shell Spherical Model
(e.g. multilamellar vesicles)



The 2D scattering intensity is the same as 1D, regardless of the orientation of the q vector which

is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

For P*S: The outer most radius (= core_radius + n_pairs * s_thickness + (n_pairs - 1) * w_thickness) is used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of [cm⁻¹] and the parameters of the multi-shell model are the following:

In the parameters, the 's_thickness' is the shell thickness while the 'w_thickness' is the solvent thickness, and the n_pair is the number of shells.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
core_radius	Å	60.0
n_pairs	None	2.0
core_sld	Å ⁻²	6.3e-6
shell_sld	Å ⁻²	0.0
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
s_thickness	Å	10
w_thickness	Å	10




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/200 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).

REFERENCE

Cabane, B., Small Angle Scattering Methods, Surfactant Solutions: New Methods of Investigation, Ch.2, Surfactant Science Series Vol. 22, Ed. R. Zana, M. Dekker, New York, 1987.

2.5. BinaryHSMModel

This model (binary hard sphere model) provides the scattering intensity, for binary mixture of spheres including hard sphere interaction between those particles. Using Percus-Yevick closure, the calculation is an exact multi-component solution:

$$I(q) = (1-x)f_1^2(q)S_{11}(q) + 2[x(1-x)]^{1/2} f_1(q)f_2(q)S_{12}(q) + xf_2^2(q)S_{22}(q)$$

where S_{ij} are the partial structure factors and f_i are the scattering amplitudes of the particles. And the subscript 1 is for the smaller particle and 2 is for the larger. The number fraction of the larger particle, ($x = n_2/(n_1+n_2)$, n = the number density) is internally calculated based on:

$$x = \frac{(\phi_2 + \phi)\alpha^3}{(1 - (\phi_2 / \phi) + (\phi_2 / \phi)\alpha^3)^2}$$

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 = \text{total_volume_fraction}$$

$$\alpha = R_1 / R_2 = \text{size_ratio}$$

The 2D scattering intensity is the same as 1D, regardless of the orientation of the q vector which

is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

The parameters of the binary hard sphere are the following (in the names, l (or ls) stands for larger spheres while s (or ss) for the smaller spheres):

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm ⁻¹	0.001
l_radius	Å	100.0
ss_sld	Å ⁻²	0.0
ls_sld	Å ⁻²	3e-6
solvent_sld	Å ⁻²	6e-6
s_radius	Å	25.0
vol_frac_ls	Å	0.1
vol_frac_ss	Å	0.2




Figure. 1D plot using the default values above (w/200 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).

See the reference for details.

REFERENCE

N. W. Ashcroft and D. C. Langreth, *Physical Review*, v. 156 (1967) 685-692.
 [Errata found in *Phys. Rev.* 166 (1968) 934.]

2.6. Cylinder Model

This model provides the form factor for a right circular cylinder with uniform scattering length density. The form factor is normalized by the particle volume.

1.1. Definition

The output of the 2D scattering intensity function for oriented cylinders is given by (Guinier, 1955):

$$P(q, \alpha) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V} f^2(q) + bkg \quad (4)$$

$$f(q) = 2(\Delta\rho)V \sin(qL \cos \alpha / 2) / (qL \cos \alpha / 2) \frac{J_1(qr \sin \alpha)}{(qr \sin \alpha)} \quad (5)$$

where α is the angle between the axis of the cylinder and the q -vector, V is the volume of the cylinder, L is the length of the cylinder, r is the radius of the cylinder, and $\Delta\rho$ (contrast) is the scattering length density difference between the scatterer and the solvent. J_1 is the first order Bessel function.

To provide easy access to the orientation of the cylinder, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles theta and phi. Those angles are defined on Figure 2.




Figure 2a. Definition of the angles for oriented cylinders.




Figure 2b. Examples of the angles for oriented cylinders against the detector plane.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the cylinder is calculate based on the radius and length values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of [cm⁻¹] and the parameters of the cylinder model are the following:

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	Å	20.0
length	Å	400.0
contrast	Å ⁻²	3.0e-6
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
cyl_theta	Radian	1.0
cyl_phi	Radian	1.0

The output of the 1D scattering intensity function for randomly oriented cylinders is then given by:

$$P(q) = \frac{scale}{V} \int_0^{\pi/2} f^2(q, \alpha) \sin \alpha d\alpha + bkg \quad (6)$$

The *cyl_theta* and *cyl_phi* parameter are not used for the 1D output. Our implementation of the scattering kernel and the 1D scattering intensity use the c-library from NIST.

2.1. Validation of the cylinder model

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D model to the output of the software provided by the NIST (Kline, 2006). Figure 3 shows a comparison of the 1D output of our model and the output of the NIST software.

In general, averaging over a distribution of orientations is done by evaluating the following:

$$P(q) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{\pi} p(\theta, \varphi) P_0(q, \alpha) \sin \theta d\theta \quad (7)$$

where $p(\theta, \varphi)$ is the probability distribution for the orientation and $P_0(q, \alpha)$ is the scattering intensity for the fully oriented system. Since we have no other software to compare the implementation of the intensity for fully oriented cylinders, we can compare the result of averaging our 2D output using a uniform distribution $p(\theta, \varphi) = 1.0$. Figure 4 shows the result of such a cross-check.




Figure 3: Comparison of the DANSE scattering intensity for a cylinder with the output of the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters were set to: Scale=1.0, Radius=20 Å, Length=400 Å, Contrast=3e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.01 cm⁻¹.




Figure 4: Comparison of the intensity for uniformly distributed cylinders calculated from our 2D model and the intensity from the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters used were: Scale=1.0, Radius=20 Å, Length=400 Å, Contrast=3e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.0 cm⁻¹.

2.7. Core-Shell Cylinder Model

This model provides the form factor for a circular cylinder with a core-shell scattering length density profile. The form factor is normalized by the particle volume.

1.1. Definition


The output of the 2D scattering intensity function for oriented core-shell cylinders is given by (Kline, 2006):

$$P(q, \alpha) = \frac{scale}{V_s} f^2(q) + bkg \quad (12)$$

$$f(q) = 2(\rho_c - \rho_s)V_c \frac{\sin[qL \cos \alpha / 2]}{[qL \cos \alpha / 2]} \frac{J_1[qr \sin \alpha]}{[qr \sin \alpha]} + 2(\rho_s - \rho_{scr})V_s \frac{\sin[q(L+t) \cos \alpha / 2]}{[q(L+t) \cos \alpha / 2]} \frac{J_1[q(r+t) \sin \alpha]}{[q(r+t) \sin \alpha]} \quad (13)$$

where α is the angle between the axis of the cylinder and the q -vector, V_s is the volume of the outer shell, V_c is the volume of the core, L is the length of the core, r is the radius of the core, t is the thickness of the shell, ρ_c is the scattering length density of the core, ρ_s is the scattering length

density of the shell, ρ_{solv} is the scattering length density of the solvent, and bk_g is the background level. The outer radius of the shell is given by $r+t$ and the total length of the outer shell is given by $L+2t$. J_1 is the first order Bessel function.



To provide easy access to the orientation of the core-shell cylinder, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles θ and ϕ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles are defined on Figure 2.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid cylinder is calculate based on the (radius+thickness) and 2(length +thickness) values, and used as the effective radius toward $S(Q)$ when $P(Q)*S(Q)$ is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$ and the parameters of the core-shell cylinder model are the following:

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	Å	20.0
thickness	Å	10.0
length	Å	400.0
core_sld	Å ⁻²	1e-6
shell_sld	Å ⁻²	4e-6
solvent_sld	Å ⁻²	1e-6
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
axis_theta	Radian	1.57
axis_phi	Radian	0.0

The output of the 1D scattering intensity function for randomly oriented cylinders is then given by equation 6.

The *axis_theta* and *axis_phi* parameters are not used for the 1D output. Our implementation of the scattering kernel and the 1D scattering intensity use the c-library from NIST.

2.1. Validation of the core-shell cylinder model

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D model to the output of the software provided by the NIST (Kline, 2006). Figure 8 shows a comparison of the 1D output of our model and the output of the NIST software.

Averaging over a distribution of orientation is done by evaluating equation 7. Since we have no other software to compare the implementation of the intensity for fully oriented core-shell cylinders, we can compare the result of averaging our 2D output using a uniform distribution $p(\theta, \varphi) = 1.0$. Figure 9 shows the result of such a cross-check.




Figure 8: Comparison of the DANSE scattering intensity for a core-shell cylinder with the output of the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters were set to: Scale=1.0, Radius=20 Å, Thickness=10 Å, Length=400 Å, Core_sld=1e-6 Å⁻², Shell_sld=4e-6 Å⁻², Solvent_sld=1e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.01 cm⁻¹.




Figure 9: Comparison of the intensity for uniformly distributed core-shell cylinders calculated from our 2D model and the intensity from the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters used were: Scale=1.0, Radius=20 Å, Thickness=10 Å, Length=400 Å, Core_sld=1e-6 Å⁻², Shell_sld=4e-6 Å⁻², Solvent_sld=1e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.0 cm⁻¹.

2.8. HollowCylinderModel


This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a monodisperse hollow right angle circular cylinder (tube) where the form factor is normalized by the volume of the tube:

$P(q) = \text{scale} * \langle f^2 \rangle / V_{\text{shell}} + \text{background}$ where the averaging $\langle \rangle$ is applied only for the 1D calculation. The inside and outside of the hollow cylinder have the same SLD.

The 1D scattering intensity is calculated in the following way (Guinier, 1955):

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(q) &= (\text{scale}) V_{\text{shell}} (\Delta\rho)^2 \int_0^1 \Psi^2 [q, R_{\text{shell}} (1-x^2)^{1/2}, R_{\text{core}} (1-x^2)^{1/2}] \left[\frac{\sin(qHx)}{qHx} \right]^2 dx \\
 \Psi(q, y, z) &= \frac{1}{1-\gamma^2} [\Lambda(qy) - \gamma^2 \Lambda(qz)] \\
 \Lambda(a) &= 2J_1(a) / a \\
 \gamma &= R_{\text{core}} / R_{\text{shell}} \\
 V_{\text{shell}} &= \pi(R_{\text{shell}}^2 - R_{\text{core}}^2)L
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where scale is a scale factor, J_1 is the 1st order Bessel function, $J_1(x) = (\sin x - x \cos x) / x^2$.



To provide easy access to the orientation of the core-shell cylinder, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles θ and φ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid cylinder is calculate based on the (radius) and 2(length) values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

In the parameters, the 'contrast' represents SLD (shell) - SLD (solvent) and the radius = R_{shell} while $\text{core_radius} = R_{\text{core}}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	Å	30
length	Å	400
core_radius	Å	20
contrast	Å ⁻²	5e-06
background	cm ⁻¹	0.01




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006).


REFERENCE

Feigin, L. A, and D. I. Svergun, "Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering", Plenum Press, New York, (1987).

2.9. FlexibleCylinderModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a flexible cylinder where the form factor is normalized by the volume of the cylinder: Inter-cylinder interactions are NOT included. $P(q) = \text{scale} \cdot \langle f^2 \rangle / V + \text{background}$ where the averaging $\langle \rangle$ is applied over all orientation for 1D. The 2D scattering intensity is the same as 1D, regardless of the orientation of the q vector which is

defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.



The chain of contour length, L , (the total length) can be described a chain of some number of locally stiff segments of length l_p . The persistence length, l_p , is the length along the cylinder over which the flexible cylinder can be considered a rigid rod. The Kuhn length ($b = 2 \cdot l_p$) is also used to describe the stiffness of a chain. The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale. In the parameters, the 'contrast' represents $\text{SLD}(\text{chain}) - \text{SLD}(\text{solvent})$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius	\AA	20
length	\AA	1000
contrast	\AA^{-2}	5e-06
background	cm^{-1}	0.01
kuhn_length	\AA	100




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

From the reference, "Method 3 With Excluded Volume" is used. The model is a parametrization of simulations of a discrete representation of the worm-like chain model of Kratky and Porod applied in the pseudocontinuous limit. See equations (13,26-27) in the original reference for the details.

REFERENCE

Pedersen, J. S. and P. Schurtenberger (1996). "Scattering functions of semiflexible polymers with and without excluded volume effects." *Macromolecules* 29: 7602-7612.

Correction of the formula can be found in:

Wei-Ren Chen, Paul D. Butler, and Linda J. Magid, "Incorporating Intermicellar Interactions in the Fitting of SANS Data from Cationic Wormlike Micelles" *Langmuir*, August 2006.


2.10. StackedDisksModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for stacked discs (tactoids) with a core/layer structure where the form factor is normalized by the volume of the cylinder. Assuming the next neighbor distance (d-spacing) in a stack of parallel discs obeys a Gaussian distribution, a structure factor $S(q)$ proposed by Kratky and Porod in 1949 is used in this function. Note that the resolution smearing calculation uses 76 Gauss quadrature points to properly smear the model since the

function is HIGHLY oscillatory, especially around the q-values that correspond to the repeat distance of the layers.

The 2D scattering intensity is the same as 1D, regardless of the orientation of the q vector which

is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.



The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale.

The scattering intensity $I(q)$ is:

$$I(q) = N \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[\Delta\rho_l (V_l f_l(q) - V_c f_c(q)) + \Delta\rho_c V_c f_c(q) \right]^2 S(q) \sin \alpha d\alpha + \text{background}$$

where the contrast,

$$\Delta\rho_i = \rho_i - \rho_{\text{solvent}}$$

N is the number of discs per unit volume, α is the angle between the axis of the disc and q , and V_l and V_c are the total volume and the core volume of a single disc, respectively.

$$\langle f_l^2(q) \rangle_\alpha = \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[\left(\frac{\sin(q(d+h)\cos\alpha)}{q(d+h)\cos\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{2J_1(qR\sin\alpha)}{qR\sin\alpha} \right) \right]^2 \sin \alpha d\alpha$$

$$\langle f_c^2(q) \rangle_\alpha = \int_0^{\pi/2} \left[\left(\frac{\sin(qh\cos\alpha)}{qh\cos\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{2J_1(qR\sin\alpha)}{qR\sin\alpha} \right) \right]^2 \sin \alpha d\alpha$$

where d = thickness of the layer (layer_thick), $2h$ = core thickness (core_thick), and R = radius of the disc (radius).

$$S(q) = 1 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k) \cos(kDq \cos \alpha) \exp\left[-k(q \cos \alpha)^2 \sigma_D / 2\right]$$

where n = the total number of the disc stacked (n_stacking), D =the next neighbor center to center distance (d-spacing), and σ_D = the Gaussian standard deviation of the d-spacing (sigma_d).

To provide easy access to the orientation of the stacked disks, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles θ and φ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid cylinder is calculate based on the (radius) and length = n_stacking*(core_thick +2*layer_thick) values, and used as the effective radius toward $S(Q)$ when $P(Q)*S(Q)$ is applied.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm ⁻¹	0.001
core_sld	Å ⁻²	4e-006
core_thick	Å	10
layer_sld	Å ⁻²	0
layer_thick	Å	15
n_stacking		1
radius	Å	3e+003
scale		0.01
sigma_d		0
solvent_sld	Å ⁻²	5e-006




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented stacked disks against the detector plane.


Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

- Guinier, A. and Fournet, G., "Small-Angle Scattering of X-Rays", John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1955.
 Kratky, O. and Porod, G., J. Colloid Science, 4, 35, 1949.
 Higgins, J.S. and Benoit, H.C., "Polymers and Neutron Scattering", Clarendon, Oxford, 1994.

2.11. ParallelepipedModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a rectangular cylinder (below) where the form factor is normalized by the volume of the cylinder. $P(q) = \text{scale} \cdot \langle f^2 \rangle / V + \text{background}$ where the volume $V = ABC$ and the averaging $\langle \rangle$ is applied over all orientation for 1D.



The side of the solid must be satisfied the condition of $A < B < C$ in order for the calculation to be correct: where $A = \text{short}_a$, $B = \text{short}_b$, and $C = \text{long}_c$ for the fitting parameters.

By this definition, assuming

$a = A/B < 1$; $b = B/B = 1$; $c = C/B > 1$, the form factor,

$$P(q) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V_p} \int_0^1 \phi_Q(\mu \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}, a) [S(\mu \sigma / 2)]^2 d\sigma$$

$$\phi_Q(\mu, a) = \int_0^1 \left\{ S \left[\mu / 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} u\right) \right] \cdot S \left[\mu a / 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} u\right) \right] \right\}^2 du$$

$$\text{where } S(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}, \mu = qB$$

The contrast is defined as

$$\Delta\rho_i = \rho_i - \rho_{\text{solvent}}$$

The scattering intensity per unit volume is returned in the unit of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$; $I(q) = \phi P(q)$.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid cylinder is calculate based on the averaged radius ($= \sqrt{\text{short_a} * \text{short_b} / \pi}$) and length(= long_c) values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

To provide easy access to the orientation of the parallelepiped, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles θ , ϕ and Ψ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles, θ and ϕ , are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel. The angle Ψ is the rotational angle around its own long_c axis against the q plane. For example, $\Psi = 0$ when the short_b axis is parallel to the x-axis of the detector.




Figure. Definition of angels for 2D.




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented elliptical cylinders against the detector plane.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.0
contrast	\AA^{-2}	5e-006
long_c	\AA	400
short_a	\AA^{-2}	35
short_b	\AA	75
scale		1




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

- **Validation of the parallelepiped 2D model**

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D calculation to the angular average of the output of 2D calculation over all possible angles. The Figure below shows the comparison where the solid dot refers to averaged 2D while the line represents the result of 1D calculation (for the averaging, 76, 180, 76 points are taken over the angles of theta, phi, and psi respectively).




Figure. Comparison between 1D and averaged 2D.

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Mittelbach and Porod, Acta Physica Austriaca 14 (1961) 185-211.
Equations (1), (13-14). (in German)

2.12. Elliptical Cylinder Model

This function calculates the scattering from an oriented elliptical cylinder.

For 2D (orientated system):

The angles theta and phi define the orientation of the axis of the cylinder. The angle psi is defined as the orientation of the major axis of the ellipse with respect to the vector Q. A gaussian poydispersity can be added to any of the orientation angles, and also for the minor radius and the ratio of the ellipse radii.




Figure. $a = r_{\text{minor}}$ and $v = r_{\text{ratio}}$ (i.e., $r_{\text{major}}/r_{\text{minor}}$).

The function calculated is:

$$I(q) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V_{\text{opt}}} \int d\psi \int d\phi \int P(\theta, \phi, \psi) F^2(q, \alpha, \psi) \sin \theta d\theta + bkg$$

with the functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(q, \alpha, \psi) &= 2 \frac{J_1(a)}{a} \cdot \frac{\sin(b)}{b} \\
 a &= q \cdot \sin(\alpha) [r_{\text{major}}^2 \sin^2(\psi) + r_{\text{minor}}^2 \cos^2(\psi)]^{1/2} \\
 b &= q \frac{L}{2} \cos(\alpha)
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and the angle ψ is defined as the orientation of the major axis of the ellipse with respect to the vector Q .

For 1D (no preferred orientation):

The form factor is averaged over all possible orientation before normalized by the particle volume: $P(q) = \text{scale} \cdot \langle f^2 \rangle / V$.

The returned value is scaled to units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$.

To provide easy access to the orientation of the elliptical, we define the axis of the cylinder using two angles θ , ϕ and Ψ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles, θ and ϕ , are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel. The angle Ψ is the rotational angle around its own long_c axis against the q plane. For example, $\Psi = 0$ when the r_{minor} axis is parallel to the x-axis of the detector.

All angle parameters are valid and given only for 2D calculation (Oriented system).




Figure. Definition of angels for 2D.




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented elliptical cylinders against the detector plane.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid cylinder is calculate based on the averaged radius ($=\sqrt{r_minor^2*r_ratio}$) and length values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
r_minor	Å	20.0

r_ratio	Å	1.5
length	Å	400.0
contrast	Å ⁻²	3e-6
cyl_phi	Å ⁻²	0
cyl_psi	Å ⁻²	0
cyl_theta	radian	1.57
background		0




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

- **Validation of the elliptical cylinder 2D model**

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D calculation to the angular average of the output of 2D calculation over all possible angles. The Figure below shows the comparison where the solid dot refers to averaged 2D while the line represents the result of 1D calculation (for 2D averaging, 76, 180, 76 points are taken for the angles of theta, phi, and psi respectively).




Figure. Comparison between 1D and averaged 2D.

In the 2D average, more binning in the angle phi is necessary to get the proper result. The following figure shows the results of the averaging by varying the number of bin over angles.




Figure. The intensities averaged from 2D over different number of points of binning of angles.

REFERENCE

L. A. Feigin and D. I. Svergun “Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering”, Plenum, New York, (1987).

2.13. Ellipsoid Model

This model provides the form factor for an ellipsoid (ellipsoid of revolution) with uniform scattering length density. The form factor is normalized by the particle volume.

1.1. Definition

The output of the 2D scattering intensity function for oriented ellipsoids is given by (Feigin, 1987):

$$P(q, \alpha) = \frac{scale}{V} f^2(q) + bkg \quad (8)$$

$$f(q) = \frac{3(\Delta\rho)V(\sin[qr(R_a, R_b, \alpha)] - qr \cos[qr(R_a, R_b, \alpha)])}{[qr(R_a, R_b, \alpha)]^3} \quad (9)$$

$$r(R_a, R_b, \alpha) = [R_b^2 \sin^2 \alpha + R_a^2 \cos^2 \alpha]^{1/2} \quad (10)$$

where α is the angle between the axis of the ellipsoid and the q-vector, V is the volume of the ellipsoid, R_a is the radius along the rotation axis of the ellipsoid, R_b is the radius perpendicular to the rotation axis of the ellipsoid and $\Delta\rho$ (contrast) is the scattering length density difference between the scatterer and the solvent.

To provide easy access to the orientation of the ellipsoid, we define the rotation axis of the ellipsoid using two angles θ and φ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles are defined on Figure 2. For the ellipsoid, θ is the angle between the rotation axis and the z-axis.

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid ellipsoid is calculate based on the radius_a and radius_b values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

The returned value is scaled to units of [cm^{-1}] and the parameters of the ellipsoid model are the following:

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	None	1.0
radius_a (polar)	Å	20.0
radius_b (equatorial)	Å	400.0
contrast	Å ⁻²	3.0e-6

background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
axis_theta	Radian	1.57
axis_phi	Radian	0.0

The output of the 1D scattering intensity function for randomly oriented ellipsoids is then given by equation 6.

The *axis_theta* and *axis_phi* parameters are not used for the 1D output. Our implementation of the scattering kernel and the 1D scattering intensity use the c-library from NIST.




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented ellipsoid against the detector plane.

2.1. Validation of the ellipsoid model

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D model to the output of the software provided by the NIST (Kline, 2006). Figure 5 shows a comparison of the 1D output of our model and the output of the NIST software.

Averaging over a distribution of orientation is done by evaluating equation 7. Since we have no other software to compare the implementation of the intensity for fully oriented ellipsoids, we can compare the result of averaging our 2D output using a uniform distribution $p(\theta, \phi) = 1.0$. Figure 6 shows the result of such a cross-check.

The discrepancy above $q=0.3 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ is due to the way the form factors are calculated in the c-library provided by NIST. A numerical integration has to be performed to obtain $P(q)$ for randomly oriented particles (equation 6). The NIST software performs that integration with a 76-point Gaussian quadrature rule, which will become imprecise at high q where the amplitude varies quickly as a function of q . The DANSE result shown has been obtained by summing over

501 equidistant points in α . Our result was found to be stable over the range of q shown for a number of points higher than 500.




Figure 5: Comparison of the DANSE scattering intensity for an ellipsoid with the output of the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters were set to: Scale=1.0, Radius_a=20 Å, Radius_b=400 Å, Contrast=3e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.01 cm⁻¹.





Figure 6: Comparison of the intensity for uniformly distributed ellipsoids calculated from our 2D model and the intensity from the NIST SANS analysis software. The parameters used were: Scale=1.0, Radius_a=20 Å, Radius_b=400 Å, Contrast=3e-6 Å⁻², and Background=0.0 cm⁻¹.

2.14. CoreShellEllipsoidModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for a core shell ellipsoid (below) where the form factor is normalized by the volume of the cylinder. $P(q) = \text{scale} \cdot \langle f^2 \rangle / V + \text{background}$ where the volume $V = 4\pi/3 \cdot r_{\text{maj}} \cdot r_{\text{min}}^2$ and the averaging $\langle \rangle$ is applied over all orientation for 1D.



The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale.
The form factor calculated is:

$$P(q) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V} \int_0^1 |F(q, r_{\text{min}}, r_{\text{max}}, \alpha)|^2 d\alpha + \text{background}$$

$$|F(q, r_{\text{min}}, r_{\text{max}}, \alpha)| = V \Delta \rho \cdot (3 j_1(u) / u)$$

$$u = q \left[r_{\text{maj}}^2 \alpha^2 + r_{\text{min}}^2 (1 - \alpha^2) \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\text{where } j_1(u) = (\sin x - x \cos x) / x^2$$

To provide easy access to the orientation of the coreshell ellipsoid, we define the axis of the solid ellipsoid using two angles θ , φ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles, θ and φ , are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel.

The contrast is defined as $\text{SLD}(\text{core}) - \text{SLD}(\text{shell})$ or $\text{SLD}(\text{shell} - \text{solvent})$. In the parameters, equat_core = equatorial radius of the core, polar_core = polar radius of the core, equat_shell = r_{min} (or equatorial radius of the shell), and polar_shell = r_{maj} (or polar radius of the shell).

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid ellipsoid is calculate based on the radius_a (= polar_shell) and radius_b (= equat_shell) values, and used as the effective radius toward $S(Q)$ when $P(Q)*S(Q)$ is applied.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.001
equat_core	Å	200
equat_shell	Å	250

sld_solvent	\AA^{-2}	6e-006
ploar_shell	\AA	30
ploar_core	\AA	20
scale		1
contrast	\AA^{-2}	1e-006




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented coreshellellipsoid against the detector plane where a = polar axis.


Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Kotlarchyk, M.; Chen, S.-H. J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 79, 2461.
 Berr, S. J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 4760.

2.15. TriaxialEllipsoidModel

This model provides the form factor, $P(q)$, for an ellipsoid (below) where all three axes are of different lengths, i.e., $R_a \neq R_b \neq R_c$ (Note that users should maintain this inequality for the all calculations). $P(q) = \text{scale} \cdot \langle f^2 \rangle / V + \text{background}$ where the volume $V = 4\pi/3 \cdot R_a \cdot R_b \cdot R_c$, and the averaging $\langle \rangle$ is applied over all orientation for 1D.



The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale.

The form factor calculated is:

$$P(q) = \frac{\text{scale}}{V_{\text{ell}}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \phi^2 \left\{ q \left[a^2 \cos^2(\pi c / 2) + b \sin^2(\pi c / 2)(1 - y^2) + c^2 y^2 \right] \right\} dx dy$$

$$\text{where } \phi^2(x) = 9 \left(\frac{\sin x - x \cos x}{x^3} \right)^2$$

To provide easy access to the orientation of the triaxial ellipsoid, we define the axis of the cylinder using the angles θ , ϕ and Ψ . Similarly to the case of the cylinder, those angles, θ and ϕ , are defined on Figure 2 of CylinderModel. The angle Ψ is the rotational angle around its own semi_axisC axis against the q plane. For example, $\Psi = 0$ when the semi_axisA axis is parallel to the x-axis of the detector.

The radius of gyration for this system is $R_g^2 = (R_a^2 * R_b^2 * R_c^2) / 5$. The contrast is defined as $SLD(\text{ellipsoid}) - SLD(\text{solvent})$. In the parameters, semi_axisA = R_a (or minor equatorial radius), semi_axisB = R_b (or major equatorial radius), and semi_axisC = R_c (or polar radius of the ellipsoid).

For P*S: The 2nd virial coefficient of the solid ellipsoid is calculate based on the radius_a (=semi_axisC) and radius_b (=sqrt(semi_axisA* semi_axisB)) values, and used as the effective radius toward S(Q) when P(Q)*S(Q) is applied.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
semi_axisA	Å	35
semi_axisB	Å	100
semi_axisC	Å	400
scale		1
contrast	Å ⁻²	5e-006




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

- **Validation of the triaxialellipsoid 2D model**

Validation of our code was done by comparing the output of the 1D calculation to the angular average of the output of 2D calculation over all possible angles. The Figure below shows the comparison where the solid dot refers to averaged 2D while the line represents the result of 1D calculation (for 2D averaging, 76, 180, 76 points are taken for the angles of theta, phi, and psi respectively).




Figure. Comparison between 1D and averaged 2D.




Figure. Examples of the angles for oriented ellipsoid against the detector plane.

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

L. A. Feigin and D. I. Svergun “Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering”, Plenum, New York, 1987.

2.16. LamellarModel

This model provides the scattering intensity, $I(q)$, for a lyotropic lamellar phase where a uniform SLD and random distribution in solution are assumed. The polydispersion in the bilayer thickness can be applied from the GUI.

The scattering intensity $I(q)$ is:

$$I(q) = 2\pi \frac{P(q)}{\delta q^2}$$

The form factor is,

$$P(q) = \frac{2\Delta\rho^2}{q^2} (1 - \cos(q\delta))$$

where δ = bilayer thickness.

The 2D scattering intensity is calculated in the same way as 1D, where the q vector is defined as

$$\mathbf{q} = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$$

The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale. In the parameters, sld_bi = SLD of the bilayer, sld_sol = SLD of the solvent, and bi_thick = the thickness of the bilayer.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.0
sld_bi	\AA^{-2}	1e-006
bi_thick	\AA	50
sld_sol	\AA^{-2}	6e-006
scale		1




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Nallet, Laversanne, and Roux, J. Phys. II France, 3, (1993) 487-502.
 also in J. Phys. Chem. B, 105, (2001) 11081-11088.

2.17. LamellarFFHGModel

This model provides the scattering intensity, $I(q)$, for a lyotropic lamellar phase where a random distribution in solution are assumed. The SLD of the head region is taken to be different from the SLD of the tail region.

The scattering intensity $I(q)$ is:

$$I(q) = 2\pi \frac{P(q)}{(\delta_H + \delta_T)q^2}$$

The form factor is

$$P(q) = \frac{2\Delta\rho^2}{q^2} (1 - \cos(q\delta))$$

And the structure is

$$S(q) = 1 + 2 \sum_1^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \cos(qdn) \exp\left(-\frac{2q^2 d^2 \alpha(n)}{2}\right)$$

where

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{\eta_\varphi}{4\pi^2} (\ln(n)) + \gamma_K$$

$$\gamma_K = 0.5772156649 = \text{Euler's const.}$$

$$\eta_\varphi = \frac{q_s^2 k_B T}{8\pi \sqrt{KB}} = \text{Caillé const.}$$

Here d = (repeat) spacing, δ = bilayer thickness, the contrast $\Delta\rho$ = SLD (headgroup) - SLD(solvent), K =smectic bending elasticity, B =compression modulus, and N = number of lamellar plates (n_{plates}).

Note: When the Caille parameter is greater than approximately 0.8 to 1.0, the assumptions of the model are incorrect. And due to the complication of the model function, users are responsible to make sure that all the assumptions are handled accurately: see the original reference (below) for more details.

The 2D scattering intensity is calculated in the same way as 1D, where the q vector is defined as

$$\mathbf{q} = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$$

The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.0
contrast	\AA^{-2}	5e-006
scale		1
delta	\AA	30
n_plates		20
spacing	\AA	400
caille	\AA^{-2}	0.1




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/6000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Nallet, Laversanne, and Roux, J. Phys. II France, 3, (1993) 487-502.
 also in J. Phys. Chem. B, 105, (2001) 11081-11088.

2.18. LamellarPSModel

This model provides the scattering intensity (**form factor * structure factor**), $I(q)$, for a lyotropic lamellar phase where a random distribution in solution are assumed. The SLD of the head region is taken to be different from the SLD of the tail region.

The scattering intensity $I(q)$ is:

$$I(q) = 2\pi \frac{P(q)S(q)}{\delta q^2}$$

The form factor is,

$$P(q) = \frac{4}{q^2} (\Delta\rho_H [\sin [q(\delta_H + \delta_T)] - \sin(q\delta_T)] + \Delta\rho_T \sin(q\delta_T))^2$$

where δ_T = tail length (or t_length), δ_H = head thickness (or h_thickness), $\Delta\rho_H$ = SLD (headgroup) - SLD(solvent), and $\Delta\rho_T$ = SLD (tail) - SLD(headgroup).

The 2D scattering intensity is calculated in the same way as 1D, where the q vector is defined as

$$q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$$

The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale. In the parameters, sld_tail = SLD of the tail group, and sld_head = SLD of the head group.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.0
sld_head	\AA^{-2}	3e-006
scale		1
sld_solvent	\AA^{-2}	6e-006

h_thickness	Å	10
t_length	Å	15
sld_tail	Å ⁻²	0




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/1000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Nallet, Laversanne, and Roux, J. Phys. II France, 3, (1993) 487-502.
also in J. Phys. Chem. B, 105, (2001) 11081-11088.

2.19. LamellarPSHGModel

This model provides the scattering intensity (**form factor * structure factor**), $I(q)$, for a lyotropic lamellar phase where a random distribution in solution are assumed. The SLD of the head region is taken to be different from the SLD of the tail region.

The scattering intensity $I(q)$ is:

$$I(q) = 2\pi \frac{P(q)S(q)}{\delta q^2}$$

The form factor is,

$$P(q) = \frac{4}{q^2} (\Delta\rho_H [\sin(q\delta_H + \delta_T)] - \sin(q\delta_T)] + \Delta\rho_T \sin(q\delta_T))^2$$

The structure factor is

$$S(q) = 1 + 2 \sum_1^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \cos(qdn) \exp\left(-\frac{2q^2 d^2 \alpha(n)}{2}\right)$$

where

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{\eta_\varphi}{4\pi^2} (\ln(n) + \gamma_E)$$

$$\gamma_E = 0.5772156649 = \text{Euler's const.}$$

$$\eta_\varphi = \frac{q_s^2 k_B T}{8\pi \sqrt{KB}} = \text{Caille const.}$$

where δ_T = tail length (or t_length), δ_H = head thickness (or h_thickness), $\Delta\rho_H$ = SLD (headgroup) - SLD(solvent), and $\Delta\rho_T$ = SLD (tail) - SLD(headgroup). Here d= (repeat) spacing, K=smectic bending elasticity, B=compression modulus, and N = number of lamellar plates (n_plates).

Note: When the Caille parameter is greater than approximately 0.8 to 1.0, the assumptions of the model are incorrect. And due to the complication of the model function, users are responsible to make sure that all the assumptions are handled accurately: see the original reference (below) for more details.

The 2D scattering intensity is calculated in the same way as 1D, where the q vector is defined as

$$q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$$

The returned value is in units of $[\text{cm}^{-1}]$, on absolute scale. In the parameters, sld_tail = SLD of the tail group, sld_head = SLD of the head group, and sld_solvent = SLD of the solvent.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
background	cm^{-1}	0.001
sld_head	\AA^{-2}	2e-006
scale		1
sld_solvent	\AA^{-2}	6e-006
deltaH	\AA	2
deltaT	\AA	10
sld_tail	\AA^{-2}	0
n_plates		30
spacing	\AA	40
caille	\AA^{-2}	0.001




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (w/6000 data point).

Our model uses the form factor calculations implemented in a c-library provided by the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Kline, 2006):

REFERENCE

Nallet, Laversanne, and Roux, J. Phys. II France, 3, (1993) 487-502.

also in J. Phys. Chem. B, 105, (2001) 11081-11088.

3. Shape-Independent Models

The following are models used for shape-independent SANS analysis.

3.1. Debye (Model)

The Debye model is a form factor for a linear polymer chain. In addition to the radius of gyration, R_g , a scale factor "scale", and a constant background term are included in the calculation.

$$I(q) = scale \times D(x) + bck$$
$$D(x) = 2(e^{-x} + x - 1) / x^2$$
$$x = (qR_g)^2$$

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	1.0
Rg	Å	50.0
Background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

Reference: Roe, R.-J., "Methods of X-Ray and Neutron Scattering in Polymer Science", Oxford University Press, New York (2000).

3.2. (Ornstein-Zernicke) Lorentz (Model)

The Ornstein-Zernicke model is defined by:

$$I(q) = \text{scale}/(1 + (qL)^2) + \text{bck}$$

The parameter L is referred to as the screening length.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	1.0
Length	Å	50.0
Background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

3.3. DAB (Debye-Anderson-Brumberger)_Model

Calculates the scattering from a randomly distributed, two-phase system based on the Debye-Anderson-Brumberger (DAB) model for such systems. The two-phase system is characterized by a single length scale, the correlation length, which is a measure of the average spacing between regions of phase 1 and phase 2. The model also assumes smooth interfaces between the phases and hence exhibits Porod behavior ($I \sim Q^{-4}$) at large Q ($Q \cdot \text{correlation length} \gg 1$).

$$I(q) = \text{scale}/(1 + (qL)^2)^2 + \text{bck}$$

The parameter L is referred to as the correlation length.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	1.0
Length	Å	50.0
Background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

References:

Debye, Anderson, Brumberger, "Scattering by an Inhomogeneous Solid. II. The Correlation Function and its Application", J. Appl. Phys. 28 (6), 679 (1957).

Debye, Bueche, "Scattering by an Inhomogeneous Solid", J. Appl. Phys. 20, 518 (1949).

3.4. Power_Law

This model describes a power law with background.

$$I(q) = scale \times q^{-m} + bck$$

Note the minus sign in front of the exponent.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	1.0
m	None	4
Background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

3.5. Teubner Strey (Model)

This function calculates the scattered intensity of a two-component system using the Teubner-Strey model.

$$I(q) = \frac{1}{a + c_1 q^2 + c_2 q^4} + bck$$

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
A	None	0.1
C1	None	-30.0
C2	None	5000.0

Background	cm ⁻¹	0.0
------------	------------------	-----

References:

Teubner, M; Strey, R. J. Chem. Phys., 87, 3195 (1987).

Schubert, K-V., Strey, R., Kline, S. R. and E. W. Kaler, J. Chem. Phys., 101, 5343 (1994).

3.6. Number Density Fractal (Model)

Calculates the scattering from fractal-like aggregates built from spherical building blocks following the Texiera reference. The value returned is in cm⁻¹.

$$I(q) = P(q)S(q) + bck$$

$$P(q) = scale \times V(\rho_{block} - \rho_{solvent})^2 F(qR_0)^2$$

$$F(x) = \frac{3[\sin(x) - x\cos(x)]}{x^3}$$

$$V = \frac{4}{3}\pi R_0^3$$

$$S(q) = 1 + \frac{D_f \Gamma(D_f - 1) \sin[(D_f - 1)\tan^{-1}(q\xi)]}{[1 + 1/(q\xi)^2]^{(D_f - 1)/2} (qR_0)^{D_f}}$$

The scale parameter is the volume fraction of the building blocks, R₀ is the radius of the building block, D_f is the fractal dimension, ξ is the correlation length, ρ_{solvent} is the scattering length density of the solvent, and ρ_{block} is the scattering length density of the building blocks.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
Scale	None	0.05
radius	Å	5.0
fractal_dim	None	2

corr_length	Å	100.0
block_sld	Å ⁻²	2e-6
solvent_sld	Å ⁻²	6e-6
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

References:

J. Teixeira, (1988) J. Appl. Cryst., vol. 21, p781-785

3.7. BEPolyelectrolyte Model

Calculates the structure factor of a polyelectrolyte solution with the RPA expression derived by Borue and Erukhimovich. The value returned is in cm⁻¹.

$$I(q) = K \frac{q^2 + k^2}{4\pi L \alpha^2} \frac{1}{1 + r_0^2 (q^2 + k^2)(q^2 - 12hC_s/b^2)} + background$$

$$k^2 = 4\pi L(2C_s + \alpha C_a)$$

$$r_0^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{C_a} (b / \sqrt{48\pi L_b})}$$

K is a contrast factor of the polymer, L_b is the Bjerrum length, h is the virial parameter, b is the monomer length, C_s is the concentration of monovalent salt, α is the ionization degree, C_a is the polymer molar concentration, and background is the incoherent background.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
K	Barns = 10 ⁻²⁴ cm ²	10
L _b	Å	7.1
h	Å ⁻³	12
b	Å	10
C _s	Mol/L	0
alpha	None	0.05

Ca	Mol/L	0.7
background	cm ⁻¹	0.0

References:

Borue, V. Y., Erukhimovich, I. Y. *Macromolecules* 21, 3240 (1988).

Joanny, J.-F., Leibler, L. *Journal de Physique* 51, 545 (1990).

Moussaid, A., Schosseler, F., Munch, J.-P., Candau, S. J. *Journal de Physique II France* 3, 573 (1993).

Raphaël, E., Joanny, J.-F., *Europhysics Letters* 11, 179 (1990).

3.8. Guinier (Model)

A Guinier analysis is done by linearizing the data at low q by plotting it as log(I) versus Q². The Guinier radius R_g can be obtained by fitting the following model:

$$I(q) = I_0 \exp(-R_g^2 q^2 / 3)$$

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	cm ⁻¹	1.0
Rg	Å	0.1

3.9. PorodModel

A Porod analysis is done by linearizing the data at high q by plotting it as log(I) versus log(Q). In the high q region we can fit the following model:

$$I(q) = C / q^4 + background = 2\pi\Delta\rho S_v / q^4 + background$$

C is the scale factor and S_v is the specific surface area of the sample and Δρ is the contrast factor.

The background term is added for data analysis.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	\AA^{-4}	0.1
background	cm^{-1}	0

3.10. Peak Gauss Model

This Gaussian peak model with a flat background calculates:

$$I(q) = (\text{scale}) \exp[-(q - q_0)^2 / (2B^2)] + \text{background}$$

where ‘scale’ is the peak height centered at q_0 , and B refers to the standard deviation of the function (equivalently, the FWHM is $2.54 \cdot B$).

The background term is added for data analysis.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	cm^{-1}	100
B	\AA^{-1}	0.005
q_0	\AA^{-1}	0.05
background	cm^{-1}	1.0

3.11. Peak Lorentz Model

This Lorentzean peak model with a flat background calculates:

$$I(q) = \frac{(scale)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{q - q_0}{B}\right)^2\right)} + background$$

where ‘scale’ is the peak height centered at q_0 , and B refers to the standard deviation of the function.

The background term is added for data analysis.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
scale	cm ⁻¹	100
B	Å ⁻¹	0.005
q ₀	Å ⁻¹	0.05
background	cm ⁻¹	1.0

3.12. LineModel

This is a linear function that calculates:

$$I(q) = A + Bq$$

where A and B are the coefficients of the first and second order terms.

Note: For 2D plot, $I(q) = I(q_x) * I(q_y)$ which is defined differently from other shape independent models.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
A	cm ⁻¹	1.0
B	Å	1.0

4. Customized Models

These model functions can be redefined by users (See SansView tutorial for details).

4.1. **A+Bcos(2x)+Csin(2x)**

This function, as a sample function, calculates the intensity = A + Bcos(2q) + Csin(2q).

4.2. **sin(poly)/poly**

This function calculates the intensity = scale * sin(f)/f, where f = A + Bq + Cq² + Dq³ + Eq⁴ + Fq⁵.

5. Structure Factors

The information in this section is originated from NIST SANS IgorPro package.

5.1. **HardSphere Structure**

This calculates the interparticle structure factor for monodisperse spherical particles interacting through hard sphere (excluded volume) interactions. The calculation uses the Percus-Yevick closure where the interparticle potential is:

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < 2R \\ 0, & r \geq 2R \end{cases}$$

where r is the distance from the center of the sphere of a radius R.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
effect_radius	Å	50.0
volfraction		0.2




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (in linear scale).

References:

Percus, J. K.; Yevick, J. Phys. Rev. 110, 1. (1958).

5.2. SquareWell Structure

This calculates the interparticle structure factor for a square well fluid spherical particles. The mean spherical approximation (MSA) closure was used for this calculation, and is not the most appropriate closure for an attractive interparticle potential. This solution has been compared to Monte Carlo simulations for a square well fluid, showing this calculation to be limited in applicability to well depths $\epsilon < 1.5 kT$ and volume fractions $f < 0.08$.

Positive well depths correspond to an attractive potential well. Negative well depths correspond to a potential "shoulder", which may or may not be physically reasonable.

The well width (λ) is defined as multiples of the particle diameter ($2R$)

The interaction potential is:

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < 2R \\ -\epsilon, & 2R \leq r \leq 2R\lambda \\ 0, & r \geq 2R\lambda \end{cases}$$

where r is the distance from the center of the sphere of a radius R .

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
effect_radius	Å	50.0
volfraction		0.04
wellddepth	kT	1.5
wellwidth	diameters	1.2




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (in linear scale).

References:

Sharma, R. V.; Sharma, K. C. Physica, 89A, 213. (1977).

5.3. HayterMSA Structure

This calculates the Structure factor (the Fourier transform of the pair correlation function $g(r)$) for a system of charged, spheroidal objects in a dielectric medium. When combined with an appropriate form factor (such as sphere, core+shell, ellipsoid etc...), this allows for inclusion of the interparticle interference effects due to screened coulomb repulsion between charged particles. This routine only works for charged particles. If the charge is set to zero the routine will self destruct. For non-charged particles use a hard sphere potential.

The salt concentration is used to compute the ionic strength of the solution which in turn is used to compute the Debye screening length. At present there is no provision for entering the ionic strength directly nor for use of any multivalent salts. The counterions are also assumed to be monovalent.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
effect_radius	Å	20.8
charge		19
volfraction		0.2
temperature	K	318
salt conc	M	0
dielectconst		71.1




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (in linear scale).

References:

JP Hansen and JB Hayter, Molecular Physics 46, 651-656 (1982).

JB Hayter and J Penfold, Molecular Physics 42, 109-118 (1981).

5.4. StickyHS Structure

This calculates the interparticle structure factor for a hard sphere fluid with a narrow attractive well. A perturbative solution of the Percus-Yevick closure is used. The strength of the attractive well is described in terms of "stickiness" as defined below. The returned value is a dimensionless structure factor, $S(q)$.

The perturb (perturbation parameter), epsilon, should be held between 0.01 and 0.1. It is best to hold the perturbation parameter fixed and let the "stickiness" vary to adjust the interaction strength. The stickiness, tau, is defined in equation 21 and is a function of both the perturbation parameter and the interaction strength. Tau and epsilon are defined in terms of the hard sphere diameter ($\sigma = 2R$), the width of the square well, delta (same units as R), and the depth of the well, u_0 , in units of kT . From the definition, it is clear that smaller tau mean stronger attraction.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{12\epsilon} \exp(u_0 / kT)$$
$$\epsilon = \Delta / (\sigma + \Delta)$$

where the interaction potential is

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r < \sigma \\ -U_0, & \sigma \leq r \leq \sigma + \Delta \\ 0, & r \geq \sigma + \Delta \end{cases}$$

The Percus-Yevick (PY) closure was used for this calculation, and is an adequate closure for an attractive interparticle potential. This solution has been compared to Monte Carlo simulations for a square well fluid, with good agreement.

The true particle volume fraction, f , is not equal to h , which appears in most of the reference. The two are related in equation (24) of the reference. The reference also describes the relationship between this perturbation solution and the original sticky hard sphere (or adhesive sphere) model by Baxter.

NOTES: The calculation can go haywire for certain combinations of the input parameters, producing unphysical solutions - in this case errors are reported to the command window and the $S(q)$ is set to -1 (it will disappear on a log-log plot). Use tight bounds to keep the parameters to values that you know are physical (test them) and keep nudging them until the optimization does not hit the constraints.

For 2D plot, the wave transfer is defined as $q = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2}$.

Parameter name	Units	Default value
effect_radius	Å	50
perturb		0.05
volfraction		0.1
stickiness	K	0.2




Figure. 1D plot using the default values (in linear scale).

References:

Menon, S. V. G., Manohar, C. and K. Srinivas Rao J. Chem. Phys., 95(12), 9186-9190 (1991).

References

Feigin, L. A, and D. I. Svergun (1987) "Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron Scattering", Plenum Press, New York.

Guinier, A. and G. Fournet (1955) "Small-Angle Scattering of X-Rays", John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Kline, S. R. (2006) *J Appl. Cryst.* **39**(6), 895.

Hansen, S., (1990) *J. Appl. Cryst.* 23, 344-346.

Henderson, S.J. (1996) *Biophys. J.* 70, 1618-1627

Stöckel, P., May, R., Strell, I., Cejka, Z., Hoppe, W., Heumann, H., Zillig, W. and Crespi, H. (1980) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 112, 411-417.

McAlister, B.C. and Grady, B.P., (1998) *J. Appl. Cryst.* 31, 594-599.

Porod, G. (1982) in *Small Angle X-ray Scattering*, editors Glatter, O. and Kratky, O., Academic Press.